REDBUD TRAIL BRIDGE

Corridor Alternatives – How the Preferred Alternative was selected

Spring 2016

Spring – Summer 2016 Summer 2016 – Spring 2017 June 2016

Alternative development

for the Redbud Trail Bridge began with data collection on existing conditions, such as:

- Roadway, bridge, utilities and topography
- Subsurface conditions
- Environmental features
- Hydrology and Hydraulics (H&H) such as existing river models

Preliminary Roadway/ Bridge routes/concepts were then developed,

Roadway Routes:

featuring:

- High-level corridor concepts that included horizontal and vertical layouts
- Preliminary traffic planning schemes to allow for continued traffic during construction
- Bridge Concepts:
 - Preliminary options for bridge type and span considerations
 - Preliminary crosssection geometry, including widths for roadway lanes/ shoulders, bike/ pedestrian paths, and initial provisions for critical utilities

Stakeholder Coordination

enabled the following stakeholders to review and provide comments on the initial routes/ concepts for roadway corridors and bridge cross-sections

- City of Austin Departments
 - Parks and Recreation Department (PARD)
 - Austin Water
 - Watershed Protection (WPD)
 - Real Estate
 - Austin Energy
 - Public Works
 - Austin Transportation (ATD)
 - Legal
 - Development Services
 - Public Safety
- Public and private stakeholders:
 - Private Landowner(s)/ Citizens
 - Lower Colorado River Authority
 - University of Texas
 - City of West Lake Hills

Public Involvement

Open House #1 was held in June 2016 to present the three alternatives, cross-sections, and overall project purpose and need for public review and comment.



Summer 2017 -Early 2018

Spring 2018 – Summer 2018

through end of 2018

through Spring 2019

Alternative Refinement

Based on all input received, the three alternatives were refined and two additional alternatives developed.

- An additional alternative was developed, based on a modified existing alternative, with lower profile with direct driveway access to Redbud Isle.
- Two existing and very similar alternatives were combined into a single alternative.
- Concept improvements for Lake Austin Blvd Intersection
- Two additional roadway/ bridge corridors were developed to minimize City of Austin parkland and private land impacts.

Additional Coordination with City Departments

6

- Watershed Protection:
 High-level environmental
 field surveying to
 supplement available
 environmental data
 for better review of
 alternatives. High-level
 review covered the
 following:
 - Critical Environmental Features (CEFs)
 - Bluffs
 - Canyon Rimrock
 - Springs and Seeps
 - Karst (caves/voids)
 Features
 - Wetlands
 - Heritage and protected trees
 - Potential threatened and endangered species habitat
 - Aquatic
 - Avian
 - Karst Invertebrates
 - Creek setbacks
- Austin Water
 - Various utility corridor layouts were reviewed and revised to meet Austin Water's criteria.
- Austin Transportation Dept
 - Refined concepts for Redbud Trail roadway improvements approaching Lake Austin Blvd Intersection
 - Dimensions of shared use path
 - Extent of roadway/ bike facilities
- · Parks and Recreation
 - Discussion of Redbud Isle Park improvements, access, parking
 - Mitigation of impacts

Evaluation of Five Alternatives

The evaluation considered the following:

- Meeting the safetyrelated project purpose and need
- Meeting additional project objectives such as removal of the bridge deck from the 100-year flood
- Roadway/bridge geometry and safety
- State, private, and park land impacts
- Environmental impacts to critical environmental features and buffer zones

Stakeholder review of alternatives

Conducted by the following City of Austin departments:

- Parks and Recreation Department
- Watershed Protection
- · Real Estate
- Public Works
- Austin Transportation Development Services



Summer 2019

Result of this process:

Public Involvement

 Open House #2 was held in June 2019 to present the alternative evaluation process, the preferred alternative, and the high-level concept renderings for a new bridge for public review and comment.

Preferred Alternative

Alternative 4 was identified as the preferred roadway/ bridge.