



Received
City of Austin
2014 OCT 21 PM 2: 56
Human Resources Dept

MEMORANDUM

Austin Police Department *Office of the Chief of Police*

TO: Mark Washington, Director of Civil Service
FROM: Art Acevedo, Chief of Police
DATE: October 21, 2014
SUBJECT: Temporary Suspension of Police Detective Kenneth Nash #3447
Internal Affairs Control Number 2014-0605

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 143 of the Texas Local Government Code, Section 143.052, and Rule 10, Rules of Procedure for the Firefighters', Police Officers' and Emergency Medical Service Personnel's Civil Service Commission, I have temporarily suspended Police Detective Kenneth Nash from duty as a City of Austin, Texas police officer for a period of three (3) days. The temporary suspension is effective beginning on October 22, 2014 and continuing through October 24, 2014.

I took this action because Detective Nash violated Civil Service Commission Rule 10.03, which sets forth the grounds for disciplinary suspensions of employees in the classified service, and states:

No employee of the classified service of the City of Austin shall engage in, or be involved in, any of the following acts or conduct, and the same shall constitute cause for suspension of an employee from the classified service of the City:

- L. Violation of any of the rules and regulations of the Fire Department or Police Department or of special orders, as applicable.

The following are the specific acts committed by Detective Nash in violation of Rule 10:

On April 22, 2014, Detective Nash was working as a Region IV Detective at the South Sub-station when Lieutenant Jason Disher came in and informed Detective Nash that he wanted to make a report for harassment. Lieutenant Disher advised Detective Nash that he did not wish to pursue criminal charges at the time but just wanted to document the incidents. On April 25, 2014, Lieutenant Disher informed Detective Nash of two new incidents. On the same day, based on the information obtained from Lieutenant Disher, Detective Nash presented an affidavit for the warrant of arrest and detention of the suspect, which was signed by Municipal Court Judge Solomon. Detective Nash did not take a sworn statement from Lieutenant Disher or collect evidence of the text messages or telephone calls prior to filing the affidavit.

By these actions, Detective Nash violated Rule 10.03(L) of the Civil Service Rules by violating the following rules and regulations of the Austin Police Department:

➤ **Austin Police Department Policy 900.4.3: General Conduct and Responsibilities: Neglect of Duty**

900.4.3 Neglect of Duty

Employees will satisfactorily perform their duties. Examples of unsatisfactory performance include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Lack of knowledge of the application of laws required to be enforced.
- (b) Unwillingness or inability to perform assigned tasks.
- (c) Failure to take appropriate action on the occasion of a crime, disorder, investigation or other condition deserving police attention.
- (d) Failure to respond to any call or to perform any police duties assigned to them by appropriate authorities.
- (e) Absence without approved leave.
- (f) Repeated poor evaluations.
- (g) Written record of repeated infractions of rules, regulations, directives or orders of the Department.
- (h) Failure to follow department standardized training and tactics when it was objectively reasonable to do so.

By copy of this memo, Detective Nash is hereby advised of this temporary suspension and that the suspension may be appealed to the Civil Service Commission by filing with the Director of Civil Service, within ten (10) days after receipt of a copy of this memo, a proper notice of appeal in accordance with Section 143.010 of the Texas Local Government Code.

By copy of this memo and as required by Section 143.057 of the Texas Local Government Code, Detective Nash is hereby advised that such section and the Agreement Between the City of Austin and the Austin Police Association provide for an appeal to an independent third party hearing examiner, in accordance with the provisions of such Agreement. If appeal is made to a hearing examiner, all rights of appeal to a District Court are waived, except as provided by Subsection (j) of Section 143.057 of the Texas Local Government Code. That section states that the State District Court may hear appeals of an award of a hearing examiner only on the grounds that the arbitration panel was without jurisdiction or exceeded its jurisdiction, or that the order was procured by fraud, collusion or other unlawful means. In order to appeal to a hearing examiner, the original notice of appeal submitted to the Director of Civil Service must state that appeal is made to a hearing examiner.

In addition, if this disciplinary suspension is for three (3) days or less, Detective Nash is advised of the following provisions of Article 18, Section 1, of the Meet and Confer Agreement:

The parties agree that when an officer is suspended for 1, 2, or 3 days the officer may choose one of two methods of dealing with the suspensions as listed below.

- a) **Suspensions that may not be appealed.** *The officer may choose to use vacation or holiday time to serve the suspension with no loss of paid salary and no break in service for purposes of seniority, retirement, promotion, or any other purpose. The officer must agree that there is no right to appeal if this method of suspension is chosen.*
- b) **Suspensions that may be appealed.** *The officer may appeal the suspension to arbitration or the Civil Service Commission. If the officer chooses to appeal the suspension, the arbitrator or Civil Service Commission's authority is limited to ruling on whether or not the charges against the officer are true or not true. If the arbitrator or Civil Service Commission finds the charges to be true, there is no authority to mitigate the punishment. If the arbitrator or Civil Service Commission finds the charges to be not true, the officer shall be fully reinstated with no loss of pay or benefit.*

Arbitration Costs on Appealable Suspensions

In the event that an officer appeals a 1, 2 or 3 day suspension to arbitration, it is agreed that the party that loses the arbitration shall be responsible for all costs of the arbitrator, including travel and lodging if necessary.

To facilitate such payment on the part of the officer he shall submit, at the time of appeal, a signed payroll deduction agreement that if the arbitrator rules in favor of the City he authorizes up to one hundred dollars (\$100.00) per month to be deducted from his regular pay until such time as what would usually be the City's portion of the arbitrator's costs have been satisfied.



ART ACEVEDO, Chief of Police

10/21/2014

Date

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I hereby acknowledge receipt of the above and foregoing memorandum of temporary suspension and I have been advised that if I desire to appeal that I have ten (10) days from the date of this receipt to file written notice of appeal with the Director of Civil Service in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 143 of the Texas Local Government Code. I also acknowledge the options set forth in this memorandum of temporary suspension, including my right to waive an appeal of a suspension of three (3) days or less, and my financial and contractual obligations under the Meet and Confer Agreement if I elect to appeal a suspension of three (3) days or less and do not prevail.



Police Detective Kenneth Nash #3447

10/21/14
Date