

Member Initials

<u>Main Evaluation Criteria Categories</u> Assign a weighting factor to each of these 7 criteria	<u>Criteria Sub-categories</u> The sub-categories are included to show the components of each general criterion. Weighting factor should be assigned for each Main Evaluation Criteria Category.	<u>Criteria Weighting Factor</u> Assign a percentage to each evaluation criteria in Column A. Weighting factors should add up to 100%											
		P. Robbins	B. Smith	T. Mason	J Walker	S. Schuster	L. Metzger	L. Ross	C. Castleberry	S. Leurig	M. Perales	C. Bailey	Weighted Average
Criteria 1: Water Supply Benefit	Supply Volume Drought resilience Improved reliability and utilization of existing supplies Quality compatibility with existing distribution systems Local control (resilience)	20%	30%	20%	20%	30%	25%	25%	35%				26%
Criteria 2: Economic Impact	Annual Cost Treatment Need/Cost Energy Intensity Energy Generation	30%	10%	10%	15%	15%	25%	25%	20%				19%
Criteria 3: Environmental Impacts	Impacts on other water supplies Instream Flow Endangered/Threatened Species impact Wetlands Water Quality	20%	25%	10%	20%	15%	25%	10%	10%				17%
Criteria 4: Social Impacts	Imagine Austin Plan Balances economic & environmental impacts w/community interests Recreation Smart Growth	10%	15%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%				11%
Criteria 5: Implementability	Required external adoption Land Acquisition Timing of implementation Regulatory approval Political opposition Public acceptance	10%	10%	20%	15%	20%	10%	25%	15%				16%
Criteria 6: Risk of Alternative Supplies	Dependence on climatic conditions - Variability of yield Local control Hydrologic storage risk for potential environmental release Legal Uncertainties	10%	10%	15%	15%	10%	5%	5%	10%				10%
TOTALS		100%	100%	85%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	